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#### Abstract

With the rapid development the computer network, data has been an exchange in the form of text, image, audio and video, hence securing of all type of data is most necessary in today's era. To enhance security and copyright protection of digital data, we are implementing a hybrid scheme for cryptography and watermarking. In this scheme, the message divided the five different parts and encrypts them with the Fibonacci series, XOR cipher, PN sequence, RSA, Hill cipher. After obtaining the encrypted data, this data is hide using one bit LSB watermarking, two bit LSB watermarking and three bit LSB watermarking. This paper also represents the comparative analysis of one bit LSB watermarking, two bit LSB watermarking and three bit LSB watermarking.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

In the rapid growth of the multimedia technologies, digital data such as texts, images, videos, and audios have been expansively exploited in our everyday life. The process of the digital data makes human lives extra suitable. People can broadcast broadly data via computer networks. However, the security of the computer networks has been not enough, and the transmitted data could be captured by an illegal user. Therefore, how to ensure the digital data to be securely transmitted via the Internet is an important issue. Cryptography and digital watermarking are similar in the way that they both are used to protect essential information.

Cryptography is a process to convert plaintext into the cipher text using the secret key. These are two types cryptography algorithm such as conventional key cryptography and public key cryptography. Conventional key cryptography, the one key is used in both encryption and decryption process. These are different types of conventional key cryptography algorithms such as Data encryption standard (DES), Advanced encryption standard (AES), Fibonacci series, PN sequence and XOR cipher etc. Public key cryptography the different but related pair of the secret key is used in both encryption and decryption process. These are the different type of public key cryptography algorithms such as RSA, Hill cipher and Elliptic curve cryptography (ECC).

Digital watermarking is a science of hiding information invisible in the cover image. Digital watermarking is to hide the enormous existence of the message in the cover image.
Digital watermarking used to hide the information within the host image, which cannot able to be retrieved by the third party. These are two types digital watermarking
algorithms such as spatial domain and frequency domain. Spatial domain technique applied in the pixels value of the primary image.
LSB and ISB are two techniques the spatial domains. In LSB technique pixels value of primary data inserts in the least significant bits of the cover image. Frequency domain technique applied into frequency coefficients of primary data. Discrete
Fourier Transform (DFT), Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT) and Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) are techniques the frequency domain $[6,11]$.

## II. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

The data is passed through a series of mathematical operation, that generate an alternate form of that data is called encryption. The sequence of these operations is called algorithm. The unencrypted data is called plaintext and encrypted data as cipher text. The security of encryption depends on the capability of an algorithm to produce cipher text that is not easily recovered to the plaintext.

As we have obverse the single encryption methods are easy to decrypt once the secret key or logic is known to the third party, so in order to the enhance security of the data, we are used to the combination of different cryptography algorithms and LSB watermarking.

For example, if we take the input to be "The symmetric and asymmetric techniques", this message divided the five different parts and encrypts them with the five different cryptography algorithm as shown in figure 1.the encrypted data is hidden by the three different LSB watermarking algorithms.


Figure 1: Representation of data splitting
The input data is segregated the five different parts. If the length of the input data is 39 characters, then the first 8 characters are encrypted using Fibonacci series. The next 8 characters are encrypted using XOR cipher. The next 8 characters are encrypted using PN sequence. The next 8 characters are encrypted using RSA and remaining 7 characters are encrypted using Hill cipher.

1. Encryption algorithm
2. Select a spring input message.
3. Segregate the message into five different parts.
4. Fibonacci series applied the first part of the message.
5. XOR cipher applied the second part of the message.
6. PN sequence applied the third part of the message.
7. RSA algorithm applied the fourth part of the message.
8. Hill cipher applied the fifth part of the message.
9. After used these algorithms message is concatenated and encrypted message obtain to output.
10. Select the host image.
11. One bit LSB watermarking, two bit LSB watermarking and three bit LSB watermarking are utilized to hide the encrypted message.
12. Calculated the parameters such as PSNR, MSE and RMSE.


Figure 2: Block diagram of encryption

Figure 2 shows the block diagram of the hybrid technique of cryptography and LSB watermarking in encryption. While the data is encrypt used the five cryptography algorithms and encrypted data is hide by the three different LSB watermarking. The same cryptography algorithms and key is used to decrypt the cipher text at receiver side as shown in figure 3.
2. Decryption algorithm

1. One bit LSB watermarking, two bit LSB watermarking and three bit LSB watermarking are utilized to the watermarked image.
2. To employ the one bit LSB watermarking, two bit LSB watermarking and three bit LSB watermarking to obtain the encrypted message.
3. Segregate the encrypted message into five parts that are same as the encryption.
4. Fibonacci series applied the first part of the message.
5. XOR cipher applied the second part of the message.
6. PN sequence applied the third part of the message.
7. RSA algorithm applied the fourth part of the message.
8. Hill cipher applied the fifth part of the message.
9. After applied these algorithms message is concatenated and original message obtains to output.


Figure 3: Block diagram of decryption
A. Fibonacci series

1. Procure the message.
2. Convert the character into ASCII value.
3. Generate the Fibonacci series.
4. Add the ASCII value into the Fibonacci series in the encryption.
5. Subtract the ASCII value into the Fibonacci series in the decryption.
6. Convert the ASCII value into the character.
B. XOR cipher
7. Procure the message.
8. Convert the character into ASCII value.
9. Convert the ASCII value into the binary value.
10. Generate the secure key.
11. XOR operation is utilized to secure key and binary value.
12. Convert the binary value into the character.
C. PN sequence
13. Procure the message.
14. Convert the character into ASCII value.
15. Generate the PN sequence.
16. Add the ASCII value into the PN sequence in the encryption.
17. Subtract the ASCII value into the PN sequence in the decryption.
18. Convert the ASCII value into the character.
D. RSA
19. Select two random prime numbers p and q .
20. Calculate the value of $\mathrm{Pk}=\mathrm{pxq}$.
21. Calculate the value of $\mathrm{phi}=(\mathrm{p}-1) \times(\mathrm{q}-1)$.
22. Calculate the encryption key e using while loop $x=2 ; e=1$;
while $\mathrm{x}>1$
$\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{e}+1$;
$\mathrm{x}=\operatorname{gcd}($ Phi, e$)$;
end
23. Calculate the decryption key $d$ using e and Phi (dxe)\%Phi=1
24. Generate the cipher text $S$ operate the following method Cipher text $S=M^{e} \operatorname{Mod}(n)$
25. Generate the plaintext M operate the following method Plaintext $M=S^{d} \operatorname{Mod}(n)$
E. Hill cipher
26. Procure the message.
27. Select the square $2 \times 2$ matrix.
28. Group the plaintext into pairs.
29. Convert each pair of character into vectors value.
30. Generate the cipher text C operate the following method $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{PK} \bmod \mathrm{M}$
31. Generate the plaintext P operate the following method $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CK}^{-1} \bmod \mathrm{M}$
F. Least Significant Bit (LSB)
32. Select the cover image.
33. Read the cover image.
34. Defines the value of three primary colors in the cover image.
35. Calculate the size of the cover image.
36. Convert the encrypted message into double.
37. Rotate the binary value.
38. Calculate the size of the encrypted message.
39. Set the LSB bit of the cover image (ii, jj ) according to the message in the encryption.
40. Display the watermarked image.
10.Pick up the LSB bit of the cover image (ii, jj) according to the encrypted message.
41. Convert the binary value into characters.

## III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Analysis using several host images and its different message has done in MATLAB. The host images are $512 \times 512$ sizes in shown figure 4.


Figure 4: Various host Images
Table1. Original text and cipher text

| "o | Original text | Cipher text |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 136 | Trust human being | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { Tsv } & \text { vq\%m zwp } \\ !\mathrm{b}> & \$ \mathrm{H}: \end{array}$ |
| 200 | Maximum character encoded | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mbyk} \quad \mathrm{hph} \% \mathrm{f} \\ & \mathrm{mk} \cdot \mathrm{u} \quad,>1 \\ & +@ \mathrm{aV} 3 \mathrm{w}= \end{aligned}$ |
| 256 | Businesspeople life professional | $\begin{array}{\|cc\|} \hline \text { Bvtkqj } & \text { vvu{fa01a41f5-6e0c-4546-bb1e-0fca34c82a0d}qwlf\%dk } \\ \text { íp }^{*} \ddagger ’<\ddagger i \\ 1 \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{~b}^{\bullet}>,[ & \text { Z1\$L5a } \end{array}$ |
| 400 | Uniform distribution provided described techniques | Uojhrwu-y vqwpgpqlj $s^{*} \dagger^{\wedge} "$ EEE ${ }^{\prime}+\dagger \mathrm{d}>\mathrm{P}, 1 \mathrm{l}$ >d x6SbZ1\$L5a |

A. Evaluation Of Image Quality

We calculate the quality of watermarked images in terms of MSE (Mean Square Error), RMSE (Root Mean Square Error) and PSNR (Peak Signal to Noise Ratio). In imaginary case, PSNR should be finite and MSE should be zero. In virtual case, PSNR is large and MSE is small.

1) MSE

Mean square error can be calculated using formula

$$
\text { MSE }=\frac{\sum \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{~N}\left[\mathrm{I}_{1}(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n})-\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n})\right]^{2}}{\mathrm{M} * \mathrm{~N}}
$$

Where $\mathrm{I}_{1}(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n})$ is the host image and $\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n})$ is the watermarked image, M and N are the numbers of rows and columns in the host image.

## 2) PSNR

Peak Signal to Noise Ratio can be calculated using formula

$$
\operatorname{PSNR}=10 \log _{10}\left[\frac{\mathrm{R}^{2}}{\mathrm{MSE}}\right]
$$

Where R is the maximum fluctuation or values the images.
3) RMSE

Root Mean Square Error can be calculated using formula

$$
\mathrm{RMSE}=\sqrt{\mathrm{MSE}}
$$

Table 2 shows MSE of one bit LSB, two bit LSB and three bit LSB of five different host images with five different messages. Figure 5 shows the graph of comparison MSE of one bit LSB, two bit LSB and three bit LSB. Table 3 shows PSNR of one bit LSB, two bit LSB and three bit LSB of five different host images with five different messages. Figure 6 shows the graph of comparison PSNR of one bit LSB, two bit LSB and three bit LSB. Table4 shows RMSE of one bit LSB, two bit LSB and three bit LSB of five different host images with five different messages. Figure 7 shows the graph of comparison RMSE of one bit LSB, two bit LSB and three bit LSB.

Table2. MSE for Different Bit Substitution

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | One bit LSB | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Two } \\ & \text { bit } \\ & \text { LSB } \end{aligned}$ | Three bit LSB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MSE | MSE | MSE |
|  | 17 | 136 | $\begin{array}{r} 2.40 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.57 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.30 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{array}$ |
|  | 25 | 200 | $\begin{array}{r} 2.82 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.30 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.90 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{array}$ |
|  | 32 | 256 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 3.36 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.50 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 3.40 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{array}$ |
|  | 39 | 312 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 3.85 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 1.70 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 3.20 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{array}$ |
|  | 50 | 400 | $\begin{array}{r} 4.46 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.40 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.20 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 30 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 17 | 136 | $\begin{array}{r} 2.98 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.04 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.40 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | 25 | 200 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 4.01 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.68 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.00 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{array}$ |


|  | 32 | 256 | $\begin{gathered} 4.39 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.80 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.10 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 39 | 312 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5.19 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.70 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.60 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 50 | 400 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.10 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.30 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.80 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 17 | 136 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.59 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.90 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.60 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 25 | 200 | $\begin{gathered} 4.46 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.20 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.80 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 32 | 256 | $\begin{gathered} 5.31 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.30 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.13 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 39 | 312 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.18 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.40 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.22 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 50 | 400 | $\begin{gathered} 7.25 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.90 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.27 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \frac{y}{y} \\ & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 17 | 136 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.04 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.90 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.60 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 25 | 200 | $\begin{gathered} 4.88 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.20 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.80 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 32 | 256 | $\begin{gathered} 5.80 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.50 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.14 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 39 | 312 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.75 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.70 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.25 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 50 | 400 | $\begin{gathered} 8.81 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.90 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.15 \mathrm{E}- \\ 01 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 . \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 17 | 136 | $\begin{gathered} 1.95 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.00 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.90 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 25 | 200 | $\begin{gathered} 2.40 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.20 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.00 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 32 | 256 | $\begin{gathered} 3.32 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.50 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.00 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 39 | 312 | $\begin{gathered} 4.46 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.90 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.70 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 50 | 400 | $\begin{gathered} 6.37 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.10 \mathrm{E}- \\ 03 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.93 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |



Figure 5: MSE comparison graph for Different Bit Substitution

Table3. PSNR for Different Bit Substitution

|  |  |  | One <br> bit LSB | Two bit LSB | Three bit LSB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PSNR | PSNR | PSNR |
|  | 17 | 136 | 84.3228 | 78.3195 | 74.4487 |
|  | 25 | 200 | 83.6239 | 76.8385 | 73.5425 |
|  | 32 | 256 | 82.8714 | 76.3833 | 72.8274 |
|  | 39 | 312 | 82.273 | 75.8522 | 72.0425 |
|  | 50 | 400 | 81.6343 | 74.4134 | 71.9221 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{0} \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & 00 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | 17 | 136 | 83.3953 | 78.5687 | 71.7206 |
|  | 25 | 200 | 82.1043 | 78.2679 | 71.1601 |
|  | 32 | 256 | 81.7092 | 75.6886 | 70.2886 |
|  | 39 | 312 | 80.2211 | 75.0015 | 69.3124 |
|  | 50 | 400 | 80.1750 | 74.6077 | 69.1881 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 17 | 136 | 82.5849 | 75.4231 | 69.3037 |
|  | 25 | 200 | 81.6343 | 74.8034 | 68.2287 |
|  | 32 | 256 | 81.1105 | 74.4204 | 67.6265 |
|  | 39 | 312 | 80.2211 | 74.3297 | 67.552 |
|  | 50 | 400 | 79.5387 | 73.5425 | 67.0761 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ì } \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 17 | 136 | 82.0631 | 75.4231 | 68.3037 |
|  | 25 | 200 | 81.2441 | 74.6298 | 68.2287 |
|  | 32 | 256 | 80.4978 | 74.1871 | 67.5435 |
|  | 39 | 312 | 79.3936 | 73.7489 | 67.1721 |
|  | 50 | 400 | 78.6801 | 73.4853 | 66.7820 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 17 | 136 | 85.2405 | 77.9387 | 72.1794 |
|  | 25 | 200 | 84.3228 | 77.1840 | 71.1835 |
|  | 32 | 256 | 82.9210 | 76.4616 | 71.1302 |
|  | 39 | 312 | 81.6343 | 75.3439 | 70.4242 |
|  | 50 | 400 | 80.0890 | 74.9126 | 69.3194 |



Figure 6: PSNR comparison graph for Different Bit Substitution

Table4. RMSE for Different Bit Substitution

|  |  |  | One bit <br> LSB | Two bit <br> LSB | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Three } \\ & \text { bit } \\ & \text { LSB } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | RMSE | RMSE | RMSE |
|  | 17 | 136 | 0.0155 | 0.0309 | 0.0483 |
|  | 25 | 200 | 0.0168 | 0.0367 | 0.0536 |
|  | 32 | 256 | 0.0183 | 0.0387 | 0.0583 |
|  | 39 | 312 | 0.0196 | 0.0411 | 0.0598 |
|  | 50 | 400 | 0.0211 | 0.0485 | 0.0646 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 17 | 136 | 0.0172 | 0.0301 | 0.0661 |
|  | 25 | 200 | 0.0200 | 0.0311 | 0.0706 |
|  | 32 | 256 | 0.0209 | 0.0419 | 0.0780 |
|  | 39 | 312 | 0.0228 | 0.0429 | 0.0873 |
|  | 50 | 400 | 0.0247 | 0.0474 | 0.0885 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | 17 | 136 | 0.0189 | 0.0432 | 0.0874 |
|  | 25 | 200 | 0.0211 | 0.0464 | 0.0989 |
|  | 32 | 256 | 0.0224 | 0.0485 | 0.1041 |
|  | 39 | 312 | 0.0249 | 0.0490 | 0.1057 |
|  | 50 | 400 | 0.0269 | 0.0536 | 0.1129 |
|  | 17 | 136 | 0.0201 | 0.0432 | 0.0874 |
|  | 25 | 200 | 0.0221 | 0.0473 | 0.0989 |
|  | 32 | 256 | 0.0241 | 0.0498 | 0.1070 |
|  | 39 | 312 | 0.0273 | 0.0524 | 0.1170 |
|  | 50 | 400 | 0.0297 | 0.0540 | 0.1188 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 17 | 136 | 0.0139 | 0.0323 | 0.0597 |
|  | 25 | 200 | 0.0155 | 0.0353 | 0.0604 |
|  | 32 | 256 | 0.0182 | 0.0383 | 0.0608 |
|  | 39 | 312 | 0.0211 | 0.0436 | 0.0684 |
|  | 50 | 400 | 0.0252 | 0.0458 | 0.0693 |



Figure 7: RMSE comparison graph for Different Bit Substitution
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## IV. CONCLUSION

This paper represents the hybrid scheme for cryptography and watermarking. The security of the data is increased which is used the five cryptography algorithms to encrypted the data. After encrypted the data, LSB techniques are used to embed encrypted data in the host image. This paper also gives the comparison between the one bit LSB, two bit LSB and three bit LSB. This paper also calculates the image quality measure by using the MSE, RMSE and PSNR between the one bit LSB, two bit LSB and three bit LSB.
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